

Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas

IEEE VTC2016-Fall, Montreal, Canada, Sept. 20, 2016

George R. MacCartney Jr., Sijia Deng, Shu Sun, and Theodore S. Rappaport {gmac,sijia,ss7152,tsr}@nyu.edu



G. R. MacCartney, Jr., S. Deng, S. Sun, and T. S. Rappaport, "Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas," 2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference: VTC2016-Fall, Montreal, Canada, Sept. 2016.

© 2016 NYU WIRELESS





- Human Blockage in Channel Models
- Knife-Edge Diffraction Models
- Measurement System and Specifications
- Measurement Environment, Setup, and Test Description
- Measurement Results
- Observations and Conclusions





- Human blockage models did not exist in early 3GPP standards
- Millimeter-wave (mmWave) requires narrow beams with beamforming
- Human blocking causes dynamic deep fades at mmWave
- Diffraction is more lossy at mmWave compared to sub-6 GHz frequencies
- Recent standards have incorporated human blocking models:
 - IEEE 802.11ad
 - Mobile and wireless communications enablers for the twenty-twenty information society (METIS)
 - 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) TR 38.900 (Release 14)

A. Maltsev, et al., "Channel models for 60 GHz WLAN systems," IEEE doc. 802.11-09/0334r4

METIS2020, "METIS Channel Model," Tech. Rep. METIS2020, Deliverable D1.4 v3, July 2015. [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.metis2020.com/wp-content/uploads/deliverables/METIS D1.4 v1.0.pdf</u>

3GPP, "Technical specification group radio access network; channel model for frequency spectrum above 6 GHz," 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), TR 38.900, June. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/38900.htm



Statistical distributions used to simulate human blockage for: decay time, rise time, duration, and mean attenuation

TANDON SCHOOL

 Mostly ray-tracing simulations and few measurements used to create the model



IEEE 802.11ad Human Blockage











- Human walking in front of antennas at 60 GHz for a 4 m T-R separation distance
- Limited measurements compared to model for validation
- Approximation of knife-edge diffraction (KED) from multiple edges used for model
 - Originally based on measurements with dipole antennas (omnidirectional)



METIS2020, "METIS Channel Model," Tech. Rep. METIS2020, Deliverable D1.4 v3, July 2015. [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.metis2020.com/wp-content/uploads/deliverables/METIS_D1.4_v1.0.pdf</u>

J. Medbo and F. Harrysson, "Channel modeling for the stationary UE scenario," Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), 2013 7th European Conference on, Gothenburg, 2013, pp. 2811-2815.

3D and 2D Knife-Edge Diffraction in METIS



F = E-field gain due to diffraction



 $\overline{h2}$

TANDON SCHOOL

METIS blockage model

 $F_{w1}/_{w2} = F_{w1}$ or F_{w2} Shadowing by 4 screen edges:

$$F_{w1|w2} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}(D2_{w1|w2} + D1_{w1|w2} - r)}\right)}{\pi}$$
$$F_{h1|h2} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}(D2_{h1|h2} + D1_{h1|h2} - r)}\right)}{\pi}$$

where for \pm , the plus (+) indicates the shadow zone and the minus (-) indicates the LOS zone. For a region where there is a clear LOS, the edge closest to the LOS is considered the LOS zone and the edge farthest from the LOS is considered the shadow zone (see next slide).

KED Shadowing loss (four edges):

$$L_{\text{screen}}[d\mathbf{B}] = -20\log_{10}\left(1 - (F_{h1} + F_{h2})(F_{w1} + F_{w2})\right)$$

Double knife-edge diffraction (DKED) shadowing loss (2D, infinitely high screen) :

$$L_{\text{screen}}[d\mathbf{B}] = -20 \log_{10} \left(1 - (F_{w1} + F_{w2}) \right)$$

METIS2020, "METIS Channel Model," Tech. Rep. METIS2020, Deliverable D1.4 v3, July 2015. [Online]. Available: https://www.metis2020.com/wp-content/uploads/deliverables/METIS D1.4 v1.0.pdf

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING 2D and 3D Knife-Edge Diffraction in WIRELESS METIS

NLOS Example w1 $D1_{w1}$ $D2_{w1}$ w RX TX $D1_{w2}$ $D2_{w2}$ How to apply +/- $\overline{w}2$ $\left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}} (D2_{w1|w2} + D1_{w1|w2} - r)\right)$ to edges in KED \tan^{-1} $F_{w1|w2} =$ LOS Example equation $\tan^{-1}\left(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}(D2_{h1|h2}+D1_{h1|h2}-r)}\right)$ w1 $F_{h1|h2} =$ π **D1**_{w1} $D2_{wl}$ 3GPP, "Technical specification group radio access network; channel model for frequency spectrum above 6 GHz," 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), TR 38.900, June. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/38900.htm $D2_{w2}$ $D1_{w2}$ w2 METIS2020, "METIS Channel Model," Tech. Rep. ·B METIS2020, Deliverable D1.4 v3, July 2015. [Online]. RX Available: https://www.metis2020.com/wp-ТΧ content/uploads/deliverables/METIS_D1.4_v1.0.pdf





3GPP has two different KED human blockage models

TANDON SCHOOL

- Model A: based on polar coordinates, but similar to METIS (see page 48 of 3GPP TR 38.900 V14.0.0)
- Model B: based on Cartesian coordinates and identical to the METIS model (see page 50 of 3GPP TR 38.900 V14.0.0)

3GPP, "Technical specification group radio access network; channel model for frequency spectrum above 6 GHz," 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), TR 38.900, June. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/38900.htm

METIS2020. https://www.metis2020.com/wp-"MFTIS Channel Model. Tech. Rep. METIS2020. Deliverable D1.4 Julv 2015. [Online]. Available: content/uploads/deliverables/METIS D1.4 v1.0.pdf



Human blockage with directional antennas



- Neither METIS or 3GPP account for high gain antennas
- High gain antennas do not have uniform gain across a human blocker or screen
- This error is greatest when the human blocker is close to TX or RX (0.5 to 1.5 meters)





• We used antenna radiation patterns to extend the 2D METIS DKED model to account for non-uniform gain:

$$L_{\text{Screen Mod.}}[\text{dB}] = -20 \log_{10} \left| \left(\frac{1}{2} - F_{w1} \right) \cdot \sqrt{G_{D2_{w1}}} \cdot \sqrt{G_{D1_{w1}}} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - F_{w2} \right) \cdot \sqrt{G_{D2_{w2}}} \cdot \sqrt{G_{D1_{w2}}} \right|$$

 $G_{D2wI/DIw1/D2w2/DIw2}$ are the normalized linear gains of the TX and RX antennas $D2_{wI/w2}$ and $D1_{wI/w2}$ are the projected distances from the TX to the screen edge and from the screen to the RX, respectively.

Normalized azimuth gain (G) at angle θ is determined via far-field radiation pattern with azimuth half-power beamwidth, HPBW_{AZ}:

where:

$$G(\theta) = \operatorname{sinc}^2(\mathbf{a} \cdot \sin(\theta)) \cdot \cos^2(\theta)$$

$$\operatorname{sinc}^{2}\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\mathrm{HPBW}_{\mathrm{AZ}}}{2}\right)\right) \cdot \cos^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{HPBW}_{\mathrm{AZ}}}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

S. Sun, G. R. MacCartney, Jr., M. K. Samimi, and T. S. Rappaport, "Synthesizing omnidirectional antenna patterns, received power and path loss from directional antennas for 5g millimeter-wave communications," in 2015 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), Dec. 2015, pp. 1–7.

Far field radiation from electric current. [Online]. Available: http://www.thefouriertransform.com/applications/radiation.php

Measurement System Specifications



| Description | Specification |
|---|---|
| Baseband Sequence | PRBS (11 th order: 2 ¹¹ -1 = Length 2047) |
| Chip Rate | 500 Mcps |
| RF Null-to-Nulll Bandwidth | 1 GHz |
| PDP Detection | FFT matched filter |
| Sampling Rate | 1.5 GS/s / and Q |
| Multipath Time Resolution | 2 ns |
| Minimum Periodic PDP Interval | 32.752 µs |
| Maximum Frequency Interval | 30.053 kHz (±15.2 kHz max Doppler) |
| Maximum Periodic PDP records per snapshot | 41,000 PDPs |
| PDP Threshold | 25 dB down from max peak |
| TX/RX Intermediate Frequency | 5.625 GHz |
| TX/RX LO | 67.875 GHz (22.625 GHz x3) |
| Synchronization | TX/RX Share 10 MHz Reference |
| Carrier Frequency | 73.5 GHz |
| TX Power | -5.8 dBm |
| TX/RX Antenna Gain | 20 dBi |
| TX/RX Azimuth and Elevation HPBW | 15° |
| TX/RX Antenna Polarization | V-V |
| EIRP | 14.2 dBm |
| TX/RX Heights | 1.4 m |

TANDON SCHOOL

- Real-time spread spectrum sequence wideband correlator channel sounder
- Measurement specific details:
 - 5 second capture window that records 500 PDPs/second (2500 total PDPs)

ТΧ



G. R. MacCartney, Jr., S. Deng, S. Sun, and T. S. Rappaport, "Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas, 2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference: VTC2016-Fall, Montreal, Canada, Sept. 2016.



Measurement Environment / Setup









- Measurements for a T-R separation distance of 5 m for 9 discrete blockage positions between the TX and RX from 0.5 m to 4.5 m in 0.5 m increments
- Fraunhofer distance of antennas at 73.5 GHz: 0.292 m
- Human blocker moves at approximate speed of 1 m/s with body depth (0.28 m) blocking LOS.





Human Blockage Measurements Compared to DKED Models



- DKED METIS model does not match the measurement results in the deep shadow region, predicting less loss than observed.
- 2.6 Our proposed DKED model with antenna gains matches well with the upper envelope of the shadowing loss
 - Narrowbeam antennas cause greater diffraction loss from blockers, with deeper fades in the shadow region, compared to the DKED omnidirectional antenna model.
 - Better prediction of diffraction loss when close to TX or RX antenna

G. R. MacCartney, Jr., S. Deng, S. Sun, and T. S. Rappaport, "Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas," 2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference: VTC2016-Fall, Montreal, Canada, Sept. 2016.

NYU TANDON SCHOOL Prediction in Deep Shadow Region Wireless



 Our modified DKED model that includes antennas gains at screen edges and with coherent sum of fields from both edges matches the upper bound envelope of the total received power deep shadowing, representing constructive interference

 Our modified DKED model that includes antennas gains at screen edges and with coherent difference of fields from both edges matches the lower bound envelope of the total received power deep shadowing, representing destructive interference

M. Jacob et al., "A ray tracing based stochastic human blockage model for the IEEE 802.11ad 60 GHz channel model," Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), Rome, 2011, pp. 3084-3088.

G. R. MacCartney, Jr., S. Deng, S. Sun, and T. S. Rappaport, "Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas," 2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference: VTC2016-Fall, 14 Montreal, Canada, Sept. 2016.



• Shadowing events lasted between approximately 200 and 300 ms on average

J TANDON SCHOOL

- Reciprocal shadowing observations made at either TX/RX measurement locations such as 0.5 meters from the TX (Meas 1) and 0.5 meters from the RX (Meas 9)
- Deep fades (maximum attenuation) during shadowing could exceed 40 dB. Less loss when blocker was further from the TX and RX (Meas 5, 2.5 m from both TX and RX).
- Our modified DKED model with antenna gains can be used to determine minimum and maximum fade depths caused by human blockage
- Temporal variations and large shadowing events can be overcome by beamsteering to find scatterers and reflections to improve SNR.



Acknowledgment



Acknowledgement to our NYU WIRELESS Industrial Affiliates and NSF





Grants: 1320472, 1302336, and 1555332



References



- 1. G. R. MacCartney, Jr., S. Deng, S. Sun, and T. S. Rappaport, "Millimeter-Wave Human Blockage at 73 GHz with a Simple Double Knife-Edge Diffraction Model and Extension for Directional Antennas," 2016 IEEE 84th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2016-Fall), Sept. 2016.
- 2. S. Sun, G. R. MacCartney, Jr., M. K. Samimi, and T. S. Rappaport, "Synthesizing omnidirectional antenna patterns, received power and path loss from directional antennas for 5g millimeter-wave communications," in 2015 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), Dec. 2015, pp. 1–7.
- 3. T. S. Rappaport, G. R. MacCartney, Jr., M. K. Samimi, and S. Sun, "Wideband millimeter-wave propagation measurements and channel models for future wireless communication system design (Invited Paper)," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 3029–3056, Sept. 2015.
- 4. T. S. Rappaport, S. Sun, R. Mayzus, H. Zhao, Y. Azar, K. Wang, G. N. Wong, J. K. Schulz, M. K. Samimi, and F. Gutierrez, Jr., "Millimeter Wave Mobile Communications for 5G Cellular: It Will Work!" IEEE Access, vol. 1, pp. 335–349, May 2013.
- 5. S. Sun, T. S. Rappaport, R. W. Heath, A. Nix, and S. Rangan, "MIMO for millimeter-wave wireless communications: beamforming, spatial multiplexing, or both?" IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 110–121, Dec. 2014.
- 6. H. Wang and T. S. Rappaport, "A parametric formulation of the UTD diffraction coefficient for real-time propagation prediction modeling," IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 4, pp. 253–257, Aug. 2005.
- 7. R. R. Skidmore, T. S. Rappaport, and A. L. Abbott, "Interactive coverage region and system design simulation for wireless communication systems in multifloored indoor environments: SMT PLUS," in Proceedings of the 5th IEEE International Conference on Universal Personal Communications, vol. 2, Sept. 1996, pp. 646–650.
- 8. METIS2020, "METIS Channel Model," Tech. Rep. METIS2020, Deliverable D1.4 v3, July 2015. [Online]. Available: https://www.metis2020.com/wp-content/uploads/deliverables/METIS_D1.4_v1.0.pdf
- 9. J. Medbo, J. E. Berg, and F. Harrysson, "Temporal radio channel variations with stationary terminal," in 2004 IEEE 60th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2004-Fall), vol. 1, Sept. 2004, pp. 91–95.
- 10. J. Medbo and F. Harrysson, "Channel modeling for the stationary ue scenario," in 2013 7th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), Apr. 2013, pp. 2811–2815.
- 11. M. Jacob et al., "A ray tracing based stochastic human blockage model for the IEEE 802.11ad 60 GHz channel model," Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), Rome, 2011, pp. 3084-3088.

NYUSIM Open Source mmWave Channel Simulator

NYU WIRELESS provides Opensource Simulation and Modeling Software Suite For Global Development of 5G Millimeter Wave Wireless Networks

TANDON SCHOOL

Publically Available: <u>http://nyuwireless.com/5g-</u> <u>millimeter-wave-channel-modeling-</u> <u>software</u> or <u>http://bit.ly/1WNPpDX</u>



M. K. Samimi and T. S. Rappaport, "Statistical Channel Model with Multi-Frequency and Arbitrary Antenna Beamwidth for Millimeter-Wave Outdoor Communications," 2015 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps), pp. 1-7, Dec. 2015.

M. K. Samimi and T. S. Rappaport, "3-D statistical channel model for millimeter-wave outdoor mobile broadband communications," 2015 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), pp. 2430-2436, Jun. 2015.







Questions

